Roll No. .....

Total Pages: 08

## BT-2/M-23

42036

## ENGLISH HM-101A

Time: Three Hours]

ons vilan, ja

Maximum Marks: 75

**Note**: Attempt any *Five* questions. All questions carry equal marks.

- 1. (a) Write two synonyms for the following words given (any eight):  $8\times1=8$ 
  - (i) Beautiful
  - (ii) Guile
  - (iii) Anger
  - (iv) Sad
  - (v) Magnificent
  - (vi) Hard
  - (vii) Brave
  - (viii) Hope
  - (ix) Captivating.
  - (b) Write one appropriate antonym for the following words given (any seven):  $7\times1=7$ 
    - (i) Black
    - (ii) Blunt
    - (iii) Marvelous

		(iv)	Opaque		
		(v)	Partial		
		(vi)	Cautious		
•		(vii)	Cool		
	•	(viii)	Easy.		
2.	Form	two	suitable words from the following prefix	and	
	suffix	suffix given:			
	(i)	an			
	(ii)	ante.			
	(iii)	a	•••••••		
	(iv)	ab	•••••		
	(v)	an			
	(vi)	eu			
	(vii)	un			
	(viii)	en	*******		
	(ix)		ies		
	(x)	******	ess		
	(xi)		ward		
	(xii)	1070444	ed .		
•	(xiii)	)	ware		
	(xiv)	)	esque		
	(xv)	****	ism		

- Make sentences from the following phrases: 8×1=8 3. (a) Turn a blind eye (i) Under the weather (ii) No pain no gain (iii) Hit the sack (iv)  $(\mathbf{v})$ Once in a blue moon Bite the bullet (vi) (vii) Stabbed in back (viii) pull off. Punctuate the following sentences: (b) 7×1=7 he said listen to the music with eyes closed (i) Shut the window (ii) We visited her this morning the nurse told (iii) She came to see her (iv) The following colors are primary colors red (v) blue and green I want to leave said Meera (vi) (vii) you can wait said Roma.
  - 4. Discuss in brief the organizing principles of paragraph writing with valid examples.

P. T.(1)

<b>5.</b> (a)	Fill	Fill in the blanks with most appropriate word given				
	in th	ne parenthesis: 10				
	(i)	They went(to/was) meet me, but				
		I(was/were) out of town at that time.				
	(ii)	Handle with care as you(may/could)				
		break the crockery.				
	iii)	(a/an) apple(a/an) keeps the				
		doctor away.				
	(iv)	Why(is/are) she waiting in the lobby?				
	(v)	Can you please solve(this/those)				
		problem ?				
	(vi)	You can(see/saw) her provided				
	•	he(agrees/agreed).				
line de po	(vii)	Close the door as it(seems/seemed)				
		to rain. wobsiw od mile ei				
(b)	Fill i	n the blanks in the following sentences with				
	appro	opriate forms of the verb given in the brackets: 5				
	(i)	Wefor the station. (leave)				
	(ii)	Although they tried hard, yet they				
		not fix the machine. (can)				
	(iii)	It since morning. (rain)				
	(iv)	My auntme a shirt for my birthday.				
		(give)				
	(v)	Whatyou do then ? (are)				
	` /	(arc)				

(iv)

(ii)

(iii) Artificial Intelligence

Moral Values

According to Russell, it is the philosopher's job to discover a logically ideal language—a language capable of describing the world in such a way that we will not be misled by the accidental, imprecise surface structure of natural language. As Russell writes, "Ordinary language is totally unsuited for expressing what physics really asserts, since the words of everyday life are not sufficiently abstract. Only mathematics and mathematical logic can say as little as the physicist means to say". Just as atomic facts (the association of properties and relations with individuals) combine to form molecular facts in the world itself, such language will allow for the description of such combinations using logical connectives such as "and" and "or." In addition to the existence of atomic and molecular facts, Russell also held that general facts (facts about "all" of something) are needed to complete our picture of the world. Famously, he vacillated on whether negative facts are also required.

The reason Russell believes many ordinarily accepted statements are open to doubt is that they appear to refer

to entities that may be known only through inference. Thus, underlying Russell's various projects was not only his use of logical analysis, but also his long-standing aim of discovering whether, and to what extent, knowledge is possible. "There is one great question," he writes in 1911. "Can human beings know anything, and if so, what and how? This question is really the most essentially philosophical of all questions" (quoted in Slater 1994, 67).

Motivating this question was the traditional problem of the external world. If our knowledge of the external world comes through inferences to the best explanation, and if such inferences are always fallible, what guarantee do we have that our beliefs are true? Russell's response to this question was partly metaphysical and partly epistemological. On the metaphysical side, Russell developed his famous theory of logical atomism, in which the world is said to consist of a complex of logical atoms (such as "little patches of colour") and their properties and relations. (The theory was crucial for influencing Wittgenstein's theory of the same name.) Together these

atoms and their properties form the facts which, in turn, combine to form logically complex objects. What we normally take to be inferred entities (for example, enduring physical objects) are then understood as logical constructions formed from the immediately given entities of sensation?